

Nessun paziente viene lasciato indietro: la terapia del mieloma multiplo in popolazioni speciali di pazienti, ll paziente di età pari o superiore a 80 anni

Renato Zambello, MD

Padova

# HIGHLIGHTS IN EMATOLOGIA TREVISO, 1-2 DICEMBRE 2023

#### **Disclosures of Name Surname**

Company name	Research support	Employee	Consultant	Stockholder	Speakers bureau	Advisory board	Other
Bristol						x	
Jannsen						x	
Menarini						x	
Amgen						x	
Sanofi						x	
Oncopeptide						x	

Multiple myeloma is mainly a disease of the elderly, with the majority of cases being diagnosed in patients older than 65 years of age<sup>1</sup>



Approximately 2/3 of patients are **>65 years old** at initial diagnosis and 1/3 are **>75 years old**<sup>1</sup>



**Real-world considerations** become increasingly important in older and frailer MM patients due to **discrepancies** between the true disease demographics versus clinical trial **demographics** and real-world versus clinical-trial **efficacy**<sup>3,4</sup>



The median age at diagnosis is **70 years old**<sup>1</sup>



Patients treated with the MAIA regimen are experiencing **longer 1L remission** and are thus **older when they relapse** and initiate 2L treatment<sup>5</sup>



As incidence increases with age, the incidence of multiple myeloma is expected to increase by 77% by 2030 in patients over 65 years of age **due to the aging population**<sup>2</sup>



**Relapse** may be **more devastating in elderly** patients than in younger patients, considering many elderly patients are **not fit enough to receive treatment upon relapse**<sup>6</sup>

**1.** Kaweme NM et al. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2021;8:612696. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.612696 **2.** Manapuram S, Hashmi H. *Cureus*. 2018;10(12):e3669. doi:10.7759/cureus.3669 **3.** Borad A et al. *J* Oncol Pharm Pract. 2020;26(6):1475-1481. **4.** Richardson PG et al. *Blood Cancer J*. 2018;8(11):109. doi:10.1038/s41408-018-0141-0 **5.** Facon T et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380(22):2104-2115. **6.** Bonello F et al. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2020;12(11):3106. doi:10.3390/cancers12113106

Elderly patients with multiple myeloma present with variable disease characteristics<sup>1,2</sup>

The clinical manifestation of MM is frequently nonspecific in elderly patients, causing delays in diagnosis<sup>1,3</sup>

AL



**1.** Kaweme NM et al. Front Med (Lausanne). 2021;8:612696. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.612696 **2.** Bonello F et al. Cancer's (Basel). 2020;12(11):3106. doi:10.3390/cancers12113106 **3.** Zanwar S et al. Curr Hematol Malig Rep. 2019;14(2):70-82. **4.** Hilmer SN et al. Fundam Clin Pharmacol. 2007;21(3):217-230.

Elderly patients often have compromised immune systems, making them more susceptible to infections and impacting their ability to tolerate certain treatments<sup>1-3</sup>



Progressive immunodeficiency due to alterations in immune responses makes the elderly population vulnerable to a host of potential infections<sup>1,2</sup>

**1.** Kline KA, Bowdish DME. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2016;29:63-67. **2.** Mittelbrunn M, Kroemer G. *Nat Immunol.* 2021;22(6):687-698. **3.** Kaweme NM et al. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2021;8:612696. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.612696

### **HETEROGENEITY OF THE AGING POPULATION**

Fit patients ASCT Eligible



### Fit patients No ASCT Eligible







Based on Age Performance status (PS) Comorbidities (R-MCI score, HCT-CI) and organ function

Active, independent, who exercise regularly

Can perform limited activities but they don't need any help Help for household tasks Dependent on other people Partial help for their personal care

Age alone is not sufficient to identify frailty status or guide disease management—all patient characteristics must be taken into account<sup>1-3</sup>

# The inability of elderly patients to tolerate treatment toxicities translates into morbidity, mortality, and inadequate treatment exposure<sup>1</sup>



Treatment-related AEs impact survival outcomes in MM patients<sup>2</sup>

 Despite treatment optimization, treatment discontinuation due to AEs still occurs in about 10-15% of elderly patients<sup>1</sup>



Approximately **10% of patients** ≥80 years old experience **deaths** related to **treatment toxicity**<sup>1</sup>

 Death due to treatment toxicity occurs approximately four times more often in patients in their 80s than it does in younger patients<sup>3</sup>



**Frailty tools and thorough assessment** of disease and patient characteristics are necessary to distinguish which patients can tolerate more **intense treatment** regimens versus those that require a **gentler treatment** approach<sup>1,4</sup>

AE, adverse vent; GI, gastrointestinal; MM, multiple myeloma, OS, overall survival; tox, toxicity. 7 0 2 6 12 18 **1.** Bonello F et al. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2020;12(11):3106. doi:10.3390/cancers12113106 **2.** Bringhen S et al. *Haematologica*. 2013;98(6):980-987. **3.** Bringhen's et al. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*.2018:130:27-35. **4.** Kaweme NM et al. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2021:8:612696. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.612696



# Death due to treatment toxicity versus other causes according to age<sup>3</sup>



#### **TREVISO, 1-2 DICEMBRE 2023**

### Tools for geriatric assessments in MM patients

#### IMWG Frailty score

Variable		HR (CI 95%)	Р	SCORE		
AGE	Age <75 ys	1	-	0		
	Age 75-80 ys	1.13 (0.76-1.69)	0.549	1		
	Age >80 ys	2.40 (1.56-3.71)	<0.001	2		
CHARLSON Index	Charlson ≤1	1	-	0		
	Charlson ≥2	1.37 (0.92-2.05)	0.125	1		
ADL SCORE	ADL >4	1	-	0		
	ADL≤4	1.67 (1.08-2.56)	0.02	1		
IADL SCORE	IADL >5	1	-	0		
	IADL <u>&lt;</u> 5	1.43 (0.96-2.14)	0.078	1		
ADDITIVE T	OTAL SCO	RE PATIE	NT STA	TUS		
	0	FIT				
	1	INTERMEDIATE				
2	<u>»</u> 2	FRAIL				

ADL, Activities of Daily Living; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CI, confidence intervals; CRP, C-reactive protein; CT, computerized tomography; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; EORTC, European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer; HR, hazard ratio; IADL, Instrumental Activities of Daily Living; INWG, International Myeloma Working Group; ISS, international Myeloma Working MRP, Myeloma Risk Profile; NTproBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide;

OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; QoL, quality of life; R-MCI, revised Myeloma Comorbidity Index;

WHO, World Health Organisation



Bonello F et al. Cancers 2020;12(11):3106
Facon T et al. Leukemia 2020:34(1):224–233

3. Adapted from Palumbo A et al. Blood 2015;125(13):2068-2074

# Fit no-transplant eligible (NTE) multiple myeloma patients



CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; ADL: Activity of Daily Living; IADL: Instrumental Activity of Daily Living

Palumbo A, et al, Blood 2015;125(13):2068-74; Larocca A, Palumbo A. J Clin Oncol 2016;34(30):3600-3604

### No-fit NTE multiple myeloma patients

Intermediate-fit patients	1	Goal of treatment Good response
Age 76 to 80 years or ADL $\leq$ 4 or IADL $\leq$ 5 or CCl $\geq$ 2		<b>Priority</b> Balance efficacy-safety
Can perform limited activities but they don't need any help	R	Candidate to adjusted-treatment

#### **Frail patients**

Age > 80 years regardless of ADL, IADL, or CCI; age 76 to 80 years and either ADL  $\leq$  4, IADL  $\leq$  5, or CC  $\geq$  2; or age  $\leq$  75 years and at least two: ADL  $\leq$  4, IADL  $\leq$  5, and CC  $\geq$  2

Need help for household tasks, partially for self-care Dependent on other people Higher treatment discontinuation

CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; ADL: Activity of Daily Living; IADL: Instrumental Activity of Daily Living



Palumbo A, et al, Blood 2015;125(13):2068-74; Larocca A, Palumbo A. J Clin Oncol 2016;34(30):3600-3604

### **Treatment strategies in NTE MM patients**

- Tailored treatment based on frailty status?
- Treatment sequencing?
- How to improve the treatment strategy of NDMM?

### **Treatment strategies in NTE MM patients**

- Tailored treatment based on frailty status?
- Treatment sequencing?
- How to improve the treatment strategy of NDMM?



### Intermediate- Fit

Frail



### Fit multiple myeloma patients



#### Adding daratumumab substantially improved the outcome, in particular of fit patients

Mateos MV et al, Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk 2021; Facon et al, Leukemia 2022

Induction (cycles 1-9) Repeat q35 days × 9 cycles

Dexamethasone 20 mg po days 1, 2, 8, 9, 15, 16, 22, 23 (patients ≤75 years)

Consolidation (cycles 10-15)

Repeat q28 days × 6 cycles

Lenalidomide 15 po days 1-21 (or last tolerated dose as of cycle 9) Bortezomib 1.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> sc days 1, 15 (or last tolerated dose as of cycle 9)

\* The first 10 patients received bortezomib intravenously for cycle 1 only followed by subcutaneous

Dexamethasone 20 mg po days 1, 8, 15, 22 (patients >75 years old)

# Fit multiple myeloma patients

### Modified VRd (VRd-lite)

#### Phase 2 Study

Median age 73 years (range 65–91) ECOG PS 0 in 50%, 1 in 36%, and 2 in 14 % of patients. ORR 86%, ≥VGPR 66%, ≥CR 44% Any grade PN 60%, Grade 3-4 PN 2% Grade 3-4 AEs: Fatigue 16%, Rash 10%, Neutropenia 14%



VRd-lite was well-tolerated and highly effective in TNE patients with robust PFS and OS

V, bortezomib; R, lenalidomide; d, dexamethasone.

administration. Subsequent patients received bortezomib subcutaneously.

Lenalidomide 15 mg po days 1-21

Bortezomib 1.3 mg/m2 sc\* days 1, 8, 15, 22

O'Donnell et al, Br J Haematol 2018;182:222

## Intermediate-fit multiple myeloma patients

#### Dose/Schedule-Adjusted Rd-R vs continuous Rd

#### RV-MM-PI-0752 Phase III Randomized Study



# Ixazomib-Daratumumab-low dose dexamethasone

#### Phase II HOVON 143 trial



Antibiotic and -viral prophylaxis: Cotrimoxazole 480 mg/day, Valaciclovir 500 mg twice daily Vaccinations according to local policy

#### Steroid sparing strategy

#### Less toxic drugs and adjusted dosing

Larocca et al, Blood 2021, 137(22):3027-3036

Zweegman et al., ASH 2021

### Intermediate-fit multiple myeloma patients

	RV-MM-PI-0752 Rd-R 101 patients	HOVON 143 Dara-Ixa-Dex 65 patients	MAIA Dara-Rd 128 patients
Median age ≥ 75 years ≥80 years	75 48% Excluded	76 57% Excluded	72 27.3% 4.7%
ECOG PS 0-1 2 > 2	85% 11% 0	81% 9% 5%	100% 🔶 0 Excluded
Creatinine clearance 30-60 ml/min < 30 ml/min	22% (30-50 ml/min) 5%		34.4% 0
CCI ≥2 ADL ≤4 IADL ≤5	IMWG frailty score 23% 9% 21%	IMWG frailty score 29% 0% 14%	Frailty assessed retrospectively using age, CCI (review medical history), ECOG PS

Need a uniform definition of frailty status

### Frail multiple myeloma patients

	RV-MM-PI-0752 Rd-R 101 patients	HOVON 143 Dara-Ixa-Dex 65 patients	MAIA Dara-Rd 128 patients	MAIA Dara-Rd FRAIL 172 patients
ORR	78%	71%	96.9%	98%
PFS	20.2 mo	17.4 mo	Not reached	Not reached 🔺
DOT	17 mo	NA	33.2 mo	31.1 mo 🔺
Infections <u>&gt;</u> G3	10%	9%	35.9%	41.7% 🛉
loxic deaths	5%	8% (non rel mortality)	4.7%	11.9% 🛉
Discontinuation for PD	34%	29%*	19.5%	18.6%
Discontinuation for AE	18% - 52	2% <sup>6%*</sup> - 39.6	5% <sup>7%</sup> -30.4%	9.9% <b>- 33%</b>
Discontinuation for non compliance with study	NA	4.6%	3.9%	4.7%
Median f-up	37 mo	18 mo	36.4 mo	36.4 mo

One third of intermediate-fit and frail MM patients discontinued therapy

Facon T et al, Leukemia 2022

## Managing toxicity in frail patients: infections



The risk of early severe infections was higher in intermediate-fit and frail patients and negatively affects outcome

Bonello F et al, ASH 2020

### Antibiotic prophylaxis in newly diagnosed MM TEAMM phase 3 trial

N= 977 NDMM. Oral levofloxacin 500 mg vs placebo during the first 12 weeks of therapy.



Prophylactic levofloxacin significantly reduced febrile episodes and deaths compared with placebo



#### Concept of frailty-adjusted dosing

www.clincaltrials.gov identifier: NCT03993912. Fitness trial - NCT03720041

#### Dexamethasone-sparing regimens have also been investigated to reduce toxicities in frail patients with NDMM<sup>1</sup>

#### IFM2017-03: Randomised Phase III trial evaluating dexamethasone-sparing dara-R vs Rd<sup>1</sup>



Parameter, %	Dara-R (n=199)	Rd (n=94)	
All grade ≥3 AEs	82	68	
SAEs	55	63	
Hematological AEs	55	26	
Infections, n (%)	13	18	
Discontinuations due to AEs	14	16	

Safety summary<sup>1</sup>

Similar frequencies of infections and AE-related treatment discontinuations with dara-R and Rd demonstrate the benefits of dexamethasone-sparing regiments in this population

#### The IFM frailty score was used to determine eligibility for IFM 2017-03 $^{\rm 1}$

\*20 mg dexamethasone.

AE, adverse event; dara-R, daratumumab/lenalidomide; NDMM, newly-diagnosed multiple myeloma; PD, progressive disease; PFS, p

SAE, serious adverse event.

1. Manier J, et al. ASH 2022 (Abstract No. 569 – oral presentation).

#### Response results<sup>1</sup>



#### **TREVISO, 1-2 DICEMBRE 2023**





Manier S et al, ASH 2022

# **Treatment strategies in NTE MM patients**

- Tailored treatment based<sup>®</sup>on frailty status?
- Treatment sequencing?
- How to improve the treatment strategy of NDMM?

### First line treatment Real life population



AEs, adverse events; CR, complete response; ECOG, European Cooperative Oncology Group; SCT, stem cell transplant; SRE, skeletal-related event; VGPR, very good partial response

Yong K, et al. Br J Haematol. 2016;175(2):252-264.

# Accumulative lines of therapy received by Myeloma age at diagnosis



Courtesy of Dr A. Spencer

### First-line Use of Dara-Rd compared with 2nd-line Dara-based regimens in TNE MM

 We explored 3 potential clinical treatment sequences based on published treatment guidelines to explore OS outcomes



 We used a simulation with 3 health states that represent different stages on the patient treatment journey



- Attrition rates from first- to second-line treatment were incorporated
- We evaluated median OS rates at 5, 10, and 15 years

\*average age 74.1 years. D-Rd, daratumumab, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone; OS, overall survival; Rd, lenalidomide and dexamethasone; VRd, bortezomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone Presented at the 63rd American Society of Hematology (ASH) Annual Meeting & Exposition: December 11-14, 2021; Atlanta, GA/Virtua

Fonseca R et al, ASH 2021, abs 118

### First-line Use of Dara-Rd compared with 2nd-line Dara-based regimens in TNE MM



- D-Rd in 1L improved OS by 2.5 or 3.5 years compared with delaying DARA-based regimens until 2L after VRd or Rd, respectively.
- The probability of being alive at 5,10 years was higher with D-Rd than with VRd or Rd as initial therapy

Achieving the longest possible PFS in 1L drives OS outcomes

Fonseca R et al, ASH 2021, abs 118

# **Treatment strategies in NTE MM patients**

De

- Tailored treatment based on frailty status?
- Treatment sequencing?
- How to improve the treatment strategy of NDMM?

## MAIA study: Outcomes by Frailty Subgroup



Approximately 50% and 70% of patients still failed to achieve ≥ CR and MRD negativity

Zweegman et al. - ORAL B05 - EMN 2021

### New anti-CD38 containing quadruplets: Isatuximab-VRd



### New anti-CD38 containing quadruplets: Isatuximab-VRd

Patient characteristic	All-treated population (N=46)
Age in years, median (range)	70.0 (49–87)
Age in years, n (%)	
<65	8 (17.4)
≥65 to 74	30 (65.2)
≥75 ि	8 (17.4)
Gender, female, n (%)	24 (52.2)
ECOG performance status, n (%)	
0	23 (50.0)
1	22 (47.8)
2	1 (2.2)



Median follow-up: 15.24 months

The ORR was 97.8%, approximately 50% of patients achieved MRD negativity

Ocio E et al, IMW 2021

### **Ongoing trials with anti-CD38 based quadruplets**

TRIAL	REGIMEN	POPULATION	PRIMARY ENDPOINT	STATUS
IMROZ (phase III)	Isatuximab-VRD vs VRD	TNE NDMM ECOG 0-2	PFS	Enrollment completed
CEPHEUS (phase III) &	Daratumumab-VRD vs VRD	TNE or TE NDMM Frailty index < 2 ECOG 0-2	MRD	Enrollment completed
IFM2020-05 (phase III)	Isa-Rd vs Isa-VRD	TNE NDMM 65-79 years ECOG 0-2	MRD	Recutiting
NCT04052880 (phase II)	Dara-VRD lite	TNE NDMM ≥ 70 years	≥ VGPR	Enrolling
GMMG CONCEPT (phase II)	TNE arm: Isatuximab-KRD and Isa-KR maintenance	TNE and TE NDMM High-risk	MRD	Enrollment completed (preliminary results at EHA 2021)
TCD13983 (phase I)	Isatuximab-VRD and Isatuximab-VCD	TNE NDMM ECOG 0-2	DLT and ORR	Enrollment completed (preliminary results at ASH 2017, 2018 and ASCO 2020)

### New drugs and newer combinations

Refractory to last line of therapy

#### Belantamab Mafodotin Plus Standard of Care in Patients with TNE Newly Diagnosed MM DREAMM-9: Phase I Study



Trobuseng evaluation of avery data for Colores 1, Colores 2-3 were speciel for parallel and were interplatente were substrated 51.5.1.1. "Evaluated appreciations of discovery cargo 3, Watarul adversibilities in split strates on Day 1 and 0 of Day cycle. This characters beine independently contained by different average and a bit of average 4.4.4.4.4.2.2.2.1.

- · Preliminary analysis examined 12 patients in Cohort 1 and 6 patients each in Cohorts 2-5
- Thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, and ocular symptoms were the most common events leading to dose modification
- ORR 100% in several cohorts and at least half of all patients achieving a VGPR or better in every cohort.
- · Rapid responses, with some patients achieving a VGPR as early as 4 weeks into treatment

#### Belantamab Mafodotin Plus Rd in Patients with TNE Newly Diagnosed MM *Phase I-II Study*



MajesTEC-1: a first-in-human, phase 1/2 study, to evaluate teclistamab in RRMM after ≥3 prior lines of therapy and triple-class exposed

	Safety	ORR				Maximum CRS grade		
haracteristic	Analysis N=165			52.0% (9	3/150)		100 .	
ge (years), median (range)	64.0 (33-84)		ſ		1	1	90	All Grade:
Age 275 years, n (%)	24 (14.5)		≥CR:	21,3	SCR:		80	71.5% Grade 3 -
ligh-risk cytogenetics*, n (%)	38 (25.9)		28.7		21.3			
ime since diagnosis (years), median 'ange)	6.0 (0.8-22.7)	50	96 L	7,3		2VGPR	60	- 35 (21,2%)
rior lines of therapy, median (range)	5.0 (2-14)					50.010	30	
tior stem cell transplantation, n (%)	135 (81.8)			29,3			20	
xposure status, n (%)							20	Grade 1 - (49.7%)
Triple-class exposed!	165 (100)		_	4,0		1		
Penta-drug exposed#	116 (70.3)							
Selinexor	6 (3.6)			Subset				
efractory status, n (%)		PF	S rates	3				
Triple-class refractory <sup>e</sup>	128 [77.6]	6 month: 64 4% (95% CI: 56 0-71 7)						
Penta-drug refractory4	50 (30.3)	9 month: 58.5% (95% CI: 48.8–67.0)						

#### CARTITUDE-5: VRd Followed by Ciltacabtagene Autoleucel vs VRd-Rdin NDMM Not Intended for Transplant

A Randomized, Phase 3 Study: VRd + cilta-cel arm vs VRd + Rd arm (SOC)

- Eligible patients: age >18 years, <u>ECOG <1</u>, measurable disease; not candidates to <u>ASCT due to advanced age or</u> comobordities that are likely to have a negative impact on tolerability of this procedure; choose to defer ASCT as initial therapy.
- Excluded patients: <u>frailty index >2</u> based on the Myeloma Geriatric Assessment Score; prior CAR-T or BCMA targeting therapy; or any prior therapy for MM or SMM



Usmani SZ, et al. ASH 2021; Moreau P et al, Abs. n 896 ASH, 2021; Dytfeld D et al., 1835 ASH 2021, Terpos et al EHA 2022

148 (89.7)

### Belantamab, bispecific antibodies and CAR-T cells Which is the optimal anti-BCMA strategy for fit, intermediate-fit and frail patients?

02

#### Belantamab

- Ongoing studies with belantamab-combinations at diagnosis
- Preliminary data suggest that belantamab may have efficacy in combination with bortezomib or lenalidomide and could be an effective combination partner with VRd

#### **Bispecific antibodies**

- Two strategies are under evaluation to improve efficacy: combination therapies and earlier use of bispecific
- Additional data are needed to evaluate the risk of infection and the long-term toxicities.

#### Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cell therapy

- Selected patients
- Depend on toxicity, costs and logistic (distance from an academic center and individualized manufacturing process)



## **Optimal treatment strategies in NTE MM patients**

- Tailored treatment based on frailty status
  - Need uniform definition of frailty and future trials including more real-world patients
  - · Modulate and adapt treatment according to frailty
  - Manage frail patients
  - · Improve supportive therapies (avoid treatment discontinuation)
- Optimal treatment sequencing
  - Use the best treatment upfront to improve QoL in frail and outcome in fit patients
  - Increase sustained MRD-negativity to achieve longer treatment-free interval and possibly avoid second line treatment.
- Improve the treatment strategy of NDMM
  - Role of quadruplets (Isa-VRd better than D-VMP or D-Rd?)
  - New approaches with bispecific antibodies and CART-cell
  - · More effective treatment strategies, with curative intent and a definite duration of treatment

### Treatment goals based on fraility score



	FRAILTY ASSESSMENT IMWG Frailty Score or RMC-Inde	ex					
FIT PATIENTS	INTERMEDIATE-FIT PATIENTS	FRAIL PATIENTS					
•		6					
age ≤75 + ADL >4 + IADL >5 +CCI≤1	age 76-80 or ADL $\leq$ 4 or IADL $\leq$ 5 +CCl >1	age >80; age 76-80 + ADL ≤4 or IADL ≤5 or CCI >1; age ≤75 + at least 2 ADL ≤4 or IADL ≤5 or CCI >1					
Goal: efficacy	Goal: efficacy/safety	Goal: safety/QoL					
	APPROVED REGIMENS						
Daratumumab-VMP Daratumumab-Rd	Daratumumab-VMP, weekly V Daratumumab-Rd	Dose-adjusted Rd ± daratumumab Dose-adjusted Vd or VMP					
ASCT in pts ≤70 years old	Vd	Palliative treatment					
EXPERIMENTAL REGIMENS with monoclonal antibodies							
Daratumumab-VRd (NCT03652064) Isatuximab-VRd (NCT03319667) Isatuximab-VCd (NCT02513186) Belantamab-VRd (NCT04091126)	Daratumumab-Ixa-dex (NTR6297) Daratumumab-VRd lite (NCT04052880)	Daratumumab-Ixa-dex (NTR6297) Daratumumab-R (NCT03993912)					
Denantaritab (nononostizo)		Bonello F et al Pharmaceuticals 2020					

# **GRAZIE PER L'ATTENZIONE**